



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,198	07/18/2003	Bruce Baretz	4241-198 CON	2836
23448	7590	09/03/2009	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY / TECHNOLOGY LAW			KALAM, ABUL	
PO BOX 14329				
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,198	BARETZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Abul Kalam	2814	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Abul Kalam. (3) _____.

(2) Steven J. Hultquist. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP H07-176794.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The affidavit filed on May 5, 2009, under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Shimizu (JP H08-7614) reference, which was published on January 12, 1996. However, Shimizu has another patent application (JP H07-176794) which was published on July 14, 1995, and also reads on applicant's claimed invention. Applicant's representative has proposed filing another affidavit under 37 CFR 1.131 to overcome the JP H07-176794 reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/A. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 2814	/Wael M Fahmy/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2814
------------------------------------	--